### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 8100.1002	FOR FURTHER ACTION		Form PCT/ISA/220 re applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No. PCT/US04/37502	International filing date (da 09 November 2004 (09.11.)		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 12 November 2003 (12.11.2003)			
Applicant VAN LUE, STEPHEN						
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of						
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as substract the text has been established may, within one month from	ed according to Rule 38.2(b).	by this Authority	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant the report, submit comments to this Authority.			
as selected by this		ant failed to sugg				

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 2004)

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/37502

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  IPC(7): A61b 17/34  US CL: 606/185; 604/164.01  According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 606/185; 604/164.01					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)					
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	Relevant to claim No.			
A	US 5,591,186 A (WURSTER et al) 07 January 1997,				
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
· s	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the intermed and not in conflict with the application by	ational filing date or priority date at cited to understand the		
"A" document	t defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of relevance	principle or theory underlying the invent	ion		
•	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the classifiered novel or cannot be considered when the document is taken alone	amed invention cannot be d to involve an inventive step		
establish specified		"Y" document of particular relevance; the cla considered to involve an inventive step with one or more other such documents,	when the document is combined		
	t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	to a person skilled in the art			
	t published prior to the international filing date but later than the ate claimed	"&" document member of the same patent fa			
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international sear	ch report		
09 June 2005 (09.06.2005)  Authorized officer  Authorized officer					
Ma Cor P.C	ailing address of the ISA/US il Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US mmissioner for Patents D. Box 1450 exandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Kevin T. Truong Telephone No. 571-272-3700	Heith		
Facsimile No	p. (703) 305-3230		001		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

## NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where onginally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims I to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers, claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims I to 15 replaced by amended claims I to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in "Claims I to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- "Claims 1-10 enchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added." 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:

## "Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

# Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submutted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

# Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.